

1 therefore by your order of discovery, if I didn't have
2 it by October the 14th, which was Friday, then I'm
3 asking the Court to preclude it. Just because she says
4 that she posts something on YouTube doesn't mean that
5 that's the original -

6 THE COURT: No, I -

7 MR. MATISON: - CD, DVD, tape, whatever, so I
8 can compare to what she says it is.

9 THE COURT: Well, yeah, but I'm not sure.
10 Why don't we - Let me give that to -

11 **BY THE COURT:**

12 Q. Ms. Regnaert, the document marked as D-1,
13 what is that?

14 A. Like I said, it's a recording of my husband, and I
15 did send it to Mr. Matison, the transcription -

16 **Exhibit D-1 Identified**

17 Q. And how did you make the, how did you make
18 the recording?

19 A. On my camera, just my regular camera.

20 Q. And on your phone?

21 A. No, it's a camera.

22 Q. You recorded a conversation on your, on your
23 camera?

24 A. Yeah, because I, I take a lot of pictures, and my
25 camera just sits on my, my desk all the time. And we

1 would sit - I, you know, would sit at my desk -

2 Q. So it records audio as well as - you, you -

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. All right. So you record it on your camera.
5 When did that conversation take place?

6 A. I don't remember the date on this. I recorded him
7 after the DCF incident because he wouldn't clear up the
8 lies. I recorded him then, and then I recorded him
9 shortly before he moved out. Those were the two
10 different times I recorded him.

11 Q. I don't know what that means. Well, give me
12 - Was that a year ago?

13 A. It would be -

14 Q. Is it two months ago?

15 A. - around - I know the one recording that I have of
16 him discussing his son's behavior problems was in July
17 2015.

18 Q. Well, this one, this one is the one you seek
19 to use.

20 A. This was right around the same time.

21 Q. July of when?

22 A. 2015.

23 Q. '15. So it's been -

24 A. Yeah. Just -

25 Q. - almost a year and three -

1 A. Soon before he moved out.

2 Q. And what that's going to - And how did you
3 transcribe it? What did you do to -

4 A. I sent it to a company and they transcribed it.

5 Q. All right. And -

6 A. I had a few different ones done.

7 Q. And that's a transcript of this conversation?

8 A. Yes. And then I also sent Mr. Matison the audio
9 of it.

10 Q. And what is this going to tend to prove?

11 A. It proves how he talks when he's not in public.
12 And he also talks like that around the children, too,
13 which is my main concern.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Matison?

15 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, under either 104 or
16 403, may I question the defendant about it to give you
17 a more understanding what happened here?

18 THE COURT: Yes, you can.

19 **104 EXAMINATION BY MR. MATISON:**

20 Q. Ms. Regnaert, do you agree that this
21 purported recording was done without Dr. Regnaert's
22 knowledge?

23 A. Yes. And it's fine as long as I'm part of the
24 conversation.

25 Q. I'm, I'm - Thank you for helping me on that.

1 A. Mhmm.

2 Q. You also agree that it was not done with his
3 approval.

4 A. Right.

5 Q. So this is a purported conversation just
6 between you and Dr. Regnaert in the marital home, is
7 that correct?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. And neither Jonas or Joshua were in, in the
10 room when this conversation took place.

11 A. Not at that time, but he does, he does -

12 Q. I'm -

13 A. - he does -

14 Q. I'm asking you -

15 A. - speak that way.

16 Q. I'm asking you about this particular -

17 A. Mhmm.

18 Q. - purported transcript.

19 A. Mhmm.

20 Q. Now you claim that you taped this using your
21 camera.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Is it a video camera?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. So that there is an original video -

1 A. Mmhmm.

2 Q. - of this purported conversation between -

3 A. Yes. It's -

4 Q. - you and Dr. Regnaert.

5 A. - basically just recording the computer screen
6 because it's just sitting on my table or maybe like the
7 printer or the speakers or whatever.

8 Q. So you surreptitiously taped this
9 conversation between you and your husband?

10 A. Whatever that means.

11 Q. Meaning you did it in secret.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And for the purposes of telling a judge or
14 somebody about, what was about, -

15 A. Actually -

16 Q. - what was about to happen, no?

17 MS. REGNAERT: Actually, Judge, when I
18 recorded it I asked him to listen to them because I
19 wanted him to realize that he needed help because of
20 his -

21 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, she's not answering
22 my question.

23 MS. REGNAERT: It is.

24 MR. MATISON: I ask your - I ask it to be
25 stricken.

1 MS. REGNAERT: That's actually that my
2 original intention -

3 THE COURT: Well, I - I -

4 MS. REGNAERT: - why I recorded him.

5 THE COURT: Ms. Regnaert, is this, is this -
6 Have you reviewed the document?

7 MS. REGNAERT: I'm sorry?

8 THE COURT: Have you reviewed the actual
9 transcript?

10 MS. REGNAERT: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Is that a true and correct copy
12 of the conversation which took place?

13 MS. REGNAERT: Yes. The audio sounds much
14 worse, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to - I hear
16 your objection, Mr. Matison. I'm going to overrule
17 your objection. I'm going to admit it for whatever
18 weight I give it.

19 **Exhibit D-1 In Evidence**

20 MR. MATISON: Fine. Your Honor, I just want
21 the, I want the Court to be aware that I have never
22 seen this camera and what, and whatever she claims that
23 she's taped, and so there, -

24 THE COURT: Well, I understand that.

25 MR. MATISON: - and so therefore, so

1 therefore, hypothetically she could have edited and
2 done whatever she wanted to do with the original, and
3 who knows what she sent to a transcriber. And so -

4 THE COURT: Well -

5 MR. MATISON: - how can I test the, the
6 veracity and the validity of the transcript if I don't
7 have the original?

8 THE COURT: You can have Dr. Regnaert look at
9 it, and he can testify about he didn't say that, it's
10 not what I, what I - not my recollect - I don't recall
11 saying it, I may have some explanation of it. But for
12 now, I - Can I have that, please? I am going to - I'm
13 going to allow Ms. Regnaert to submit that into
14 evidence.

15 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, may I have a copy
16 of that exhibit, please?

17 THE COURT: Yeah. We'll get you that.

18 All right. At this point I'd ask you to take
19 a break. I've got two restraining orders I need to
20 take care of.

21 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, do you want us to
22 break for lunch?

23 THE COURT: You know what, we could do that.
24 We'll come back at like, like 1:15.

25 MR. MATISON: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: That's probably, probably a good
2 idea because -

3 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, may I leave things
4 here?

5 THE COURT: You can. If you can just move
6 your stuff aside a little bit so I, I - because I'm
7 going to need part of your table for the witness.
8 Yeah, just move it over to the side, that's all.
9 That's fine.

10 (Off the record. Back on the record as follows:)

11 THE COURT: All right. Back on the record in
12 Regnaert.

13 Mr. Matison.

14 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, at the break I
15 received a photocopy of D-1 which is now in evidence.

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. MATISON: I ask the Court to redact what
18 I believe is the defendant's handwritten -

19 THE COURT: Yeah.

20 MR. MATISON: - on the top, which obviously
21 didn't come from the transcriber, and ask the Court to
22 either redact it or ignore it.

23 THE COURT: Let me get a marker. I will
24 eliminate that. All right. So the handwritten part is
25 to be redacted and ignored. It's certainly not part of

1 the transcript of these, this statement.

2 Before I forget, aside from the marital home,
3 are there any, any retirement assets?

4 MR. MATISON: No, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: That's it? It's the only asset?

6 MR. MATISON: Correct, Your Honor. And it's
7 the plaintiff's position that each of the parties keep
8 their vehicles without setoff, and he's willing to give
9 up whatever other personal property there is that's
10 left in the, in the marital home.

11 THE COURT: What is the - You never really
12 established the equity, what equity is in the home. I
13 have the values.

14 MR. MATISON: Yes, Your Honor. I have that -

15 THE COURT: But there's no, there's no
16 indication of -

17 MR. MATISON: I - I -

18 THE COURT: - what the present mortgage is,
19 the loans are.

20 MR. MATISON: Yes. Well, Your Honor, I give
21 that to you in the memo. I submit that the mortgage at
22 the, at the date of marriage was \$100,000, and that -
23 then the mortgage as of the date of complaint is
24 \$174,000. So I do the math for you in paragraph 30,
25 and I submit to the Court that there is about \$81,000,

1 and the way I got to that is, I took the fair market
2 value using the appraiser as of the date of marriage,
3 subtract the mortgage, took the fair market value as of
4 the date of complaint, subtract the mortgage, and that
5 the increase in value is \$81,000.

6 THE COURT: All right. So you took the value
7 minus the outstanding loan -

8 MR. MATISON: Correct.

9 THE COURT: - when they bought it.

10 MR. MATISON: Correct. And then took the,
11 the outstanding loan as of the date of complaint. So
12 the specific amounts that I have based on the exhibits
13 before you is the house was appraised at \$205,000 with
14 a mortgage at \$100,000, and then the - leaving a
15 balance of \$105,000, and then you have a value of
16 \$360,000 less \$174,000, leaving a balance of \$186,000.
17 So you subtract \$105,000 from \$186,000 and you end up
18 with \$81,000. And I have that all laid out in the - as
19 a summary for you in paragraph 30 of the trial memo.
20 And, again, the plaintiff's testimony, if you accept
21 it, is that he should get his fair share of that and
22 he's not interested - he doesn't want the house
23 obviously, but he just wants - and, Your Honor, for all
24 intents and purposes put it, yeah, put it in the mix.

25 THE COURT: Well, there's not much - if

1 that's the only equitable distribution, there's not
2 much to mix.

3 MR. MATISON: No, there isn't, I said, and -
4 you know, but I'm just saying, there's no, there's no
5 debt as of the date of complaint.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. MATISON: Other than the mortgage.

8 THE COURT: Right. There's no asset, present
9 asset other than the house.

10 MR. MATISON: Correct. That's our, that's
11 what we believe is the, is the, is our position.

12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

13 **BY THE COURT:**

14 Q. Ms. Regnaert, you were providing testimony
15 and I marked into evidence D-1. I'm not sure what I do
16 with it. What is it, what is that in support of?

17 A. It was to prove his behavior behind closed doors.
18 I also have this. I emailed a bunch of the recordings,
19 Mr. Matison requested them, I emailed a bunch of them
20 to him, and I have a copy of the email that I sent to
21 him here. So that could be submitted as evidence, some
22 other recordings of him to prove how he does speak when
23 others aren't around. And he also speaks that way in
24 front of the children because Jonas got in trouble on
25 the school bus for saying he was going to get his

1 daddy's gun, shoot the other kids and twist their heads
2 off.

3 MR. MATISON: Objection, Your Honor, to what
4 Jonas said on the school bus. It's a hearsay
5 statement.

6 THE COURT: Yeah. I'll -

7 MS. REGNAERT: I can get documentation from
8 the school because he did see the school counselor
9 after that. The bus driver reported that to me.

10 THE COURT: Yes. Well, all right. But you -
11 I can't have you telling me what Jonas said.

12 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

13 THE COURT: All right? So in light of this,
14 I'm probably going to have to hear from Dr. Regnaert
15 again, so that we anticipate some redirect.

16 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I don't - I'm not
17 about to guess what recordings she wants to offer to
18 the Court -

19 THE COURT: No, I understand that, but I have
20 one here that's fairly difficult to read. I assume you
21 wanted to put him on to ask him something about it.

22 MR. MATISON: I understand, Your Honor.

23 MS. REGNAERT: Your Honor, I emailed all the
24 transcriptions to Mr. Matison and I also sent some of
25 the links. The links were only available to the

1 transcriber and to Mr. Matison. I haven't sent them to
2 anybody else. But I emailed a couple or a few of the
3 recordings and I asked him, you know, to let me know if
4 he wanted to listen and confirm any of the other
5 recordings, and I didn't hear back from him.

6 THE COURT: All right. So are you offering
7 other transcripts into evidence?

8 MS. REGNAERT: I did. I sent them to Mr.
9 Matison. He requested them, and I sent them to him.

10 THE COURT: Well, I don't - Do you have
11 other -

12 MS. REGNAERT: I have - This is the email
13 where I sent, I sent the transcriptions. I also sent
14 it because we were seeing the mediator at the same, and
15 I also sent it to the mediator and he did receive it.

16 THE COURT: All right. But notwithstanding -
17 See, I'm not sure - You agreed to certain parenting
18 time, notwithstanding these transcripts.

19 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah. It wasn't something I
20 wanted to do, it was something I was forced into doing
21 because you had already awarded him, you know,
22 parenting - or, you know, overnights and I wanted to
23 rearrange the dates, and that was the only agreement
24 that we could come, you know, come to. You know, I
25 gave all this information to Mr. Gabage, and I've got

1 numerous emails where I'm like begging and pleading
2 with him, you know, to end all this, and begging and
3 pleading with Todd to end all this. And actually
4 recently I read that I can write to you and that's why
5 I did that, because I read on the internet that I could
6 write to you and submit information to you, and that's
7 why I did it.

8 THE COURT: Well, it - I -

9 MS. REGNAERT: Because it just seemed like
10 nobody was helping me.

11 THE COURT: Yeah, I - I don't know what the
12 Internet says, but you can't write to me. I mean you
13 can file motions. I get letters from lawyers all the
14 time.

15 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah.

16 THE COURT: I tend to ignore them.

17 MS. REGNAERT: It said regarding my
18 concerns -

19 THE COURT: We don't, we don't -

20 MS. REGNAERT: - for my child.

21 THE COURT: Well, yes and no. You can file
22 motions asking me to do things.

23 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

24 THE COURT: I have people write me letters
25 all the time.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MS. REGNAERT: Mmhmm.

THE COURT: It just doesn't work.

MS. REGNAERT: Mmhmm.

THE COURT: We don't litigate by letter.

MS. REGNAERT: Mmhmm. I just wanted you to have the information because I know I'm going to forget a lot of stuff.

THE COURT: Well, but again I can't function like that.

MS. REGNAERT: Right.

THE COURT: This isn't the only case I have and I don't sort of keep track of that.

MS. REGNAERT: Right. I have these other -

THE COURT: I don't know what to do with this case. So what else is, I don't know what else we're doing.

MS. REGNAERT: I have these other transcriptions, and the reason I wrote that on the top of that one transcription is because in the other recordings he speaks about the abuse that he went through, you know, during his entire life. It's in the other recordings, and that's why I included all of them because he talks about that in most all of his recordings.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's - We'll

1 hold that for now. What else do you want to – I don't
2 know what else to ask you. Let me ask you this.

3 **BY THE COURT:**

4 Q. Are you, are you employed at the present
5 time?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. You can have a seat, you can sit down. Where
8 are you employed?

9 A. I work for Dr. Brian Jagirdar. I work for him
10 Tuesdays and Thursday mornings, and then I also do temp
11 work for Dr. Rulnick. He's a pedodontist, and I make
12 \$38.00 an hour there.

13 Q. All right. Brian, what's his name?

14 A. Jagirdar. And there I make commission –

15 Q. How do you spell that?

16 A. J-a-g-i-r-d-a-r.

17 Q. Is he a dentist?

18 A. Dentist, yes.

19 Q. All right. And what license do you hold?

20 A. Dental Hygiene, Registered Dental Hygienist.

21 Q. All right. And that's a current license?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. It's current. So you work for Dr. Jagirdar
24 how many hours a week?

25 A. It's nine to one, Tuesday and Thursday.

1 Q. Nine to one. That's ten hours, three, four -
2 eight hours? Three - That's eight hours.

3 A. Something like that.

4 Q. Eight hours? And what's your rate there?

5 A. It's commission at Dr. Jagirdar, so I never know.

6 Q. Commission. How does that work?

7 A. I don't know. I don't even know that I get paid
8 what I should be getting paid. I mean his fees are
9 pretty low. It's mostly Medicaid patients. So I get a
10 percentage.

11 Q. You get a percentage of what he collects on
12 those patients?

13 A. Yes. Yes, sir. And I also have a dog training
14 business.

15 Q. So you work for him eight hours a week,
16 that's it?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. You work for any other dentists?

19 A. Yes. Dr. Rulnick. He's -

20 Q. How do you spell his name?

21 A. R-u-l-n-i-c-k.

22 Q. All right. And how many hours do you work
23 there?

24 A. It's - That's temp work. It's usually Monday or
25 Wednesday if - He's only open like three days a week.

1 So it's either Monday or Wednesday, and his hours are
2 nine to three, with no lunch break.

3 Q. You work six hours there?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. What's your rate there?

6 A. About \$38, \$38 per hour.

7 Q. All right. And you have another business.
8 What's your other business?

9 A. Dog training business. I have classes at
10 nighttime. So on my days off I do all the, the yard
11 work. I do everything at my house. It's two acres. I
12 do a lot of yard work. I, you know, I clean the
13 building, I get everything ready for the classes. I
14 move the equipment by myself.

15 Q. And what income do you derive from your dog
16 training?

17 A. It's been around \$10,000 a year.

18 Q. Have you sought other work as a dental
19 hygienist?

20 A. I did. I did a working interview with Dr. Panella
21 (ph), and he only wanted to pay me \$35.00 an hour, and
22 his hours - I would have had to stay there until like
23 six o'clock, which I wouldn't have been able to, you
24 know, get my son and do, you know, help him with his
25 homework, and then I try and put him to bed around

1 seven o'clock. I start getting him ready for bed
2 because it takes like an hour to settle him down and,
3 you know, we do the story, and a prayer, and a lullaby,
4 and all that stuff. So it takes quite a while.

5 Q. Have you sought work anywhere else?

6 A. No. I'm looking. And I'm also trying to build up
7 my dog training business.

8 Q. All right.

9 A. Can I respond to his appraisals, Your Honor?

10 Q. There's - Yeah. What do you want to say
11 about them?

12 A. Well, he actually - His appraisal was \$360,000 - I
13 forget what month it was in 2016, and he back-dated it
14 all the way to the day that we married. Todd didn't
15 live there then, and I paid all my own bills and a lot
16 of his bills up until he moved into my house in March
17 2009, and he still didn't contribute until like after
18 June 2009 when he started working. So he didn't pay
19 any of my bills whatsoever until after June 2009. So
20 to say that, you know, he's got equity in my home since
21 2007, that's like an incorrect statement. But I also,
22 I have my own appraisal through the Township, and
23 they're -

24 Q. No, that - That's a tax assessment.

25 A. Mmhmm.

1 Q. That's not an appraisal.

2 A. Well, it's — they tax me on that, that amount.

3 Q. I know they tax you on it, but that's not —

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. It's not an appropriate indication of value.

6 A. Right. Well, his — The difference in — from 2016
7 to 2007 was 155,000-dollar increase in value. My
8 mortgage — It was actually — I purchased this home —

9 Q. Well, wait, wait, wait, wait. I just said,
10 we're not using the tax assessment.

11 A. Oh, that's fine. Yeah, that was his, his
12 appraisal.

13 Q. His appraisal was 200 and something thousand.

14 A. \$205,000 in 2007.

15 Q. Right.

16 A. But my mortgage —

17 Q. Yeah. You said there's a hundred —
18 basically, yeah, you're right, \$155,000 increase in
19 value.

20 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

21 Q. That's right.

22 A. My mortgage when I met Todd, I have the statements
23 here, it was like \$80,000 is what I owed on the home.
24 I bought that on a home equity loan. I owned another
25 home with Sean Dillon, and I bought this home with a

1 home equity loan. When we, you know, divided the
2 homes, he actually owed me \$25,000. The \$100,000 loan
3 was just to get caught up on bills from his house
4 because he ended up filing bankruptcy because he just
5 kept on spending and spending and spending. So he
6 filed bankruptcy and I was paying - I depleted all my
7 life savings to help him out. I basically worked for
8 him much of the time for free from 2007 to 2009.

9 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I'm going, I'm
10 going to object to this testimony for the reason I
11 specifically asked in discovery for her to produce all
12 types of financial records of that -

13 MS. REGNAERT: I gave those to you.

14 MR. MATISON: I - Your Honor, I'm sorry, she
15 did not.

16 MS. REGNAERT: I did.

17 MR. MATISON: And so I object on that basis
18 for -

19 THE COURT: Well, she hasn't referred to any
20 documents so far. She's just talking. I can't really
21 follow it.

22 MS. REGNAERT: I did give them to him, and I
23 gave them to the attorneys at the MESP also.

24 **BY THE WITNESS:**

25 A. But anyway, my mortgage when I met Todd -

1 BY THE COURT:

2 Q. I'm just trying to follow the story.

3 A. - was about \$80,000, and now it's, let me see,
4 \$165,000, basically \$166,000. So it's more than
5 doubled since I met him.

6 Q. Well, there's \$100,000 that Sean Dillon got
7 paid.

8 A. No, he didn't get paid anything. He paid me
9 \$25,000.

10 Q. Well, there's a mortgage on -

11 A. Yeah.

12 Q. There's a mortgage that shows that there's -

13 A. I just refinanced it, and I got extra money to get
14 caught up on bills, that's all. He didn't get any
15 money from me, I got money from him. I got \$25,000
16 from him, which he actually owed me \$50,000, and I told
17 him to just give me half, which was \$25,000. So the
18 loan, you know, I had to have it transferred because it
19 was in both of our names, and then I had to have it
20 transferred into just my name, and then I got extra
21 money just to get caught up on bills at that time.
22 That's why it was \$100,000 versus \$80,000.

23 Q. And where did the money go?

24 A. To pay, to get caught up on bills from when I was
25 working for him for basically free. And then, like I

1 said, I spent all my, my life savings on bills at his
2 house. I gave Mr. Matison the credit card receipts and
3 everything.

4 MR. MATISON: I disagree, Your Honor, that I
5 didn't - that I still have not seen back-up
6 documentation for any of this, the testimony that she
7 just testified to the Court about, notwithstanding the
8 request for it.

9 MS. REGNAERT: I did send it to him.

10 THE COURT: All right. I don't know.

11 MS. REGNAERT: Let's see.

12 THE COURT: So far she hasn't sought to
13 introduce any documents, she's just testified. So -

14 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah. Well, I gave him the
15 same documents that I gave to you, and it has all
16 those, all those documents in there.

17 THE COURT: Yeah. But, again, I don't go
18 through and to figure out which ones are useful and
19 which ones aren't.

20 MS. REGNAERT: I'll take them out of here. I
21 have the copies of what I've given to both of you. I
22 have them all here. Here I have the W-2s, which shows
23 the amounts, and I subtracted the, our taxes, and I
24 added in our refund to show what we had to spend, and
25 then I subtracted the household expenses -

1 THE COURT: I don't know what you - you're
2 talking - I don't know, I have no idea what you're
3 referring.

4 MS. REGNAERT: As far as our assets, because
5 there's a lot of missing, missing money basically. I
6 mean, after I subtracted our household expenses over
7 just the past four years, there's like \$267,000
8 missing.

9 MR. MATISON: I object again, Your Honor.
10 There's no evidence, unless the defendant wants to
11 produce it, of - of bank accounts or some other asset
12 that she feels that was failed, that we failed to
13 disclosed. Both parties filed Case Information
14 Statements. She had over a year to do whatever
15 discovery she wanted, and there aren't any other
16 assets. So I object to this whole line of testimony.
17 There isn't anything here, and she can come up with any
18 type of hypothesis she wants.

19 MS. REGNAERT: It's -

20 MR. MATISON: She has no financial records to
21 prove anything that she's saying.

22 MS. REGNAERT: It's common sense if you look
23 at our income and just - I mean if you listen to what
24 Todd says that we pay for household expenses, there's a
25 lot of money missing, and that's just common sense, and

1 I shouldn't really have to prove that.

2 THE COURT: Well, I - you know, money gets
3 spent on living. I don't know where it went and I -
4 you have to - It's not enough to say where is the
5 money, that somehow he owes you money that -

6 MS. REGNAERT: No. But he's trying to take -
7 you know, get money from my house at the same time
8 while my mortgage has more than doubled since I met
9 him. So I mean he thinks he's entitled to money from
10 my house, but yet we have all this, you know, the
11 missing income of our incomes combined over the years,
12 and he says he has no assets, he doesn't have anything
13 paid off. With, you know, that amount of income, he
14 should have things paid off. He should have money in
15 the bank and, you know, IRAs and all that. I had all
16 that before I met him, but now it's all gone.

17 MR. MATISON: I still haven't seen the first
18 document, first financial document from the defendant
19 that shows that she had a retirement asset and that she
20 claims that she took money out of it and that she used
21 it for something during this marriage, and even if she
22 did, Your Honor, so what.

23 THE COURT: Yeah. I know.

24 MR. MATISON: In my experience you're not
25 going to micro manage a nine-year marriage of

1 everything they do.

2 Here's the bottom line. Unless she can
3 produce a bank account or an asset, all of this is
4 relevant, it's the plaintiff's testimony that there
5 isn't anything and she can, she can testify all she
6 wants, but she has no financial record that shows that
7 there's money some place else. It's, it's spent.

8 MS. REGNAERT: You can't prove it when it's
9 hidden.

10 THE COURT: Well, and I can't sort of
11 manufacture this out of thin air.

12 MS. REGNAERT: Right. But, I mean, if you -
13 like I said, if you just subtract what our household
14 expenses were, there's a lot of money missing. And I
15 don't care anything about his money, but he wants to
16 deduct, you know, my home from what, you know, from
17 alimony or, or whatever so he, he can pay me less while
18 my mortgage has doubled. It's more than doubled since
19 I met him.

20 THE COURT: Yeah. But I understand there
21 were additions to the home, there was exten - the home
22 has been extensively improved.

23 MS. REGNAERT: I have - I gave him all the
24 receipts for the remodeling.

25 THE COURT: I can't -

1 MS. REGNAERT: It wasn't that much money -

2 THE COURT: - I can't, I can't do this. I
3 can't - I know you don't have a lawyer, but I can't
4 just have you sort of saying things and then I got -
5 We're going to be here for like days.

6 MS. REGNAERT: Mhmm.

7 THE COURT: Days. Because you mentioned
8 something, "Oh, I have the documents. I gave them to
9 you." I don't know what to do with this.

10 MS. REGNAERT: Well, I have all the copies
11 right here. I gave them to him and I gave them to you
12 also. But it's, it proves, you know, what my mortgage
13 was when I met Todd, what it is now, what the
14 remodeling expenses were.

15 THE COURT: If you have something you want to
16 present and prove, then - then you need to do it in
17 some sort of way that I can have this done and not take
18 three days. I mean if there's a point to be made, then
19 there should be a point made and, and supported by
20 whatever documents you believe support that that you
21 have already shown to Mr. Matison.

22 MS. REGNAERT: I also have all the checks
23 where I paid for his dental license and all his moving
24 expenses. I'll just give you - Do you want the copy?
25 Or should I give it to Mr. Matison? I already gave it

1 to him once.

2 THE COURT: Of what?

3 MS. REGNAERT: Of what my mortgage was when I
4 met Todd and what it is now.

5 THE COURT: Let me see what you have.

6 MS. REGNAERT: The first sheet is what it
7 was, and then I have two loans right now. It's
8 actually from 2009 when we were getting ready to move
9 into my house.

10 THE COURT: I've got three documents.

11 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah. One is what I owed on
12 it when he moved in.

13 THE COURT: Well, one is a statement as of
14 March 1st, 2009.

15 MS. REGNAERT: Right. And that's when he
16 moved in.

17 THE COURT: And then other, the other is - I
18 don't know what it says.

19 MS. REGNAERT: Those are my two loans that I
20 have on the house now.

21 THE COURT: Eight, nine - Well, one just -

22 MS. REGNAERT: And when he moved out.

23 THE COURT: One says, one's from August 19,
24 2015.

25 MS. REGNAERT: Mmhmm.

1 THE COURT: It was a separate loan? And then
2 the third is a Citizens Bank loan, I guess.

3 MS. REGNAERT: Yes. Citizens is what I used
4 to do remodeling.

5 THE COURT: That's \$44,000.

6 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah.

7 THE COURT: But there's also - Isn't there
8 this - But there's a \$150,000 loan, because there's -
9 Mr. Matison, wasn't there a \$150,000 - What
10 was it, it was - there's a current mortgage?

11 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, it - I don't know
12 what she gave you.

13 THE COURT: Yeah, it's different.

14 MR. MATISON: I - Based on what I know, there
15 is a mortgage now about \$130,000.

16 THE COURT: Well, I wonder if that -

17 MR. MATISON: It's been paid down. I don't
18 know what that statement that she gave to you says.

19 THE COURT: All right. I'll mark, I'll mark
20 this collectively as D-2.

21 **Exhibit D-2 Marked For Identification**

22 MR. MATISON: It's what, three pages, Your
23 Honor?

24 THE COURT: It's three, three pages.

25 MS. REGNAERT: The one that says Metavante or

1 whatever it is, for \$122,000, that's through Newfield.
2 And then the Citizens One was for the remodeling.

3 THE COURT: Show Mr. Matison that.

4 But the Citizens One, which was originally
5 \$50,000 -

6 MS. REGNAERT: Mmhmm.

7 THE COURT: - I think is D-18, which is now,
8 what, \$44,000?

9 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah. I didn't, I didn't use
10 all that money. That was just a limit.

11 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, if, if I understand
12 what the defendant is saying, we have an appraisal of
13 the house at \$205,000. She now, she now says that the,
14 that the mortgage that she had was \$80,365 - I'm
15 rounding - which would leave net equity of \$124,635.
16 She then says that on the first mortgage it has a
17 balance of \$122,016, and the home equity line has a
18 balance of \$43,820, which would mean that on the
19 second, on the second evaluation it has \$360,000 value
20 minus \$122,016 minus \$43,820, or \$194,164. So under
21 that scenario, Your Honor, is about - using her
22 numbers, there's about a \$70,000 increase of equity.

23 THE COURT: Rather than the \$81,000,

24 MR. MATISON: Rather than \$81,000. If we
25 use, if, if we use your number - her numbers, and you

1 accept these documents into evidence.

2 THE COURT: Well, to the degree that the
3 Citizens Bank is really a line of credit, -

4 MR. MATISON: Right.

5 THE COURT: - it's not \$50,000.

6 MR. MATISON: Correct. I - Your Honor, I
7 would agree. I used for the purpose of the
8 calculation, I used 44. So I was in the ballpark
9 anyway. I didn't use 50.

10 THE COURT: Yeah. I understand.

11 MR. MATISON: So it's - for - So it's -
12 There's the range.

13 THE COURT: Yeah. I think that seems to -
14 Ms. Regnaert, does that seem to make sense to
15 you?

16 MS. REGNAERT: He kind of lost me there. I
17 added up the figures myself and -

18 THE COURT: Well, if you have a value of
19 \$360,000 and -

20 MS. REGNAERT: Mhmm.

21 THE COURT: - you have a loan of \$122,000 and
22 you have loan of \$43,000 -

23 MS. REGNAERT: I don't know where he's
24 getting - Yeah, I added it together -

25 THE COURT: That's from your -

1 MS. REGNAERT: - as \$165,994 is what I owed
2 on it when he moved out.

3 THE COURT: Right. It gives you \$194,000
4 minus the \$120 -

5 MR. MATISON: - four and change, it's - Your
6 Honor, it's -

7 MS. REGNAERT: But -

8 MR. MATISON: - I'm rounding.

9 MS. REGNAERT: - also the fact that it
10 didn't, the mortgage didn't go down, I mean it should
11 have gone down in those eight years instead of - you
12 know, my initial mortgage that I had when I met him,
13 and it didn't go down because I kept taking money out
14 of it to pay bills, especially -

15 THE COURT: Well, I don't what - for some
16 marital purpose, but that's what it was. I mean I
17 can't go through every, every month of the, of the
18 mortgages where the money went. I don't - We're not
19 going to do that.

20 MS. REGNAERT: Right. But if I had a
21 \$180,000 mortgage when I met him it should have gone
22 down over the eight years. But it didn't because I had
23 to keep taking money out of the house to pay bills.

24 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, that's part of the
25 marriage.

1 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah.

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 MS. REGNAERT: But then he wants the equity.

4 THE COURT: Well, -

5 MS. REGNAERT: Although it hasn't been paid
6 down at all. It's gone up instead.

7 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I ask - I don't
8 know if you're to - it - I don't have an objection to
9 have you admit them. I just need copies at some point.

10 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm - I'll admit D-2 in
11 evidence, because I think it's an accurate depiction of
12 the current state of the mortgages.

13 **Exhibit D-2 In Evidence**

14 THE COURT: T.J., could I get that back?

15 MS. REGNAERT: These are the same documents
16 that I, I brought to the panel, and he had access to
17 them then also.

18 MR. MATISON: Again, Your Honor, that's not
19 true because I didn't get anything at the panel.
20 That's what's prompted me to file the motion for
21 discovery. She, she -

22 THE COURT: Well, it -

23 MR. MATISON: - had a bunch of documents,
24 didn't turn them over to me, and then I had to file the
25 motion to get discovery.

1 THE COURT: Ms. Regnaert, it appears that
2 money was used during the course of the marital to pay
3 marital debts, whatever reason, and what's the
4 difference between the fair, the value at the -
5 basically date of the marriage and date of the
6 complaint is \$70,000. You could argue it should be
7 more, but it's not. It's just not.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 **BY THE COURT:**

10 Q. So what else do you want me to consider?

11 A. Well, I mean just the fact that, you know, my
12 mortgage went up instead of going down since I met him.
13 But like I said, also the difference in our income and
14 the expenses and where the money went, you know, -

15 Q. Well, what -

16 A. He told me he had safe deposit boxes and, you
17 know, and he bought over 36 cars when we were together.
18 So I mean the sale from the vehicles had to go
19 somewhere, which I don't want. I don't want his money,
20 but at the same time he's trying to take money from me
21 from my house.

22 Q. Well, I've heard nothing about cars. I've
23 heard nothing about - What is it that you keep looking
24 at that you want to -

25 A. Oh, it's just my calculations of the income and

1 the expenses and the appraisals and the mortgage
2 increase. But, yeah, he - he bought at least 36 cars
3 when we were together and around, I don't know, a
4 couple of years ago he started selling them, and I
5 don't know what he did with the money. I gave Mr.
6 Matison pictures of them, and Todd claims that he sold
7 one to purchase another. I gave Mr. Matison pictures
8 of seven vehicles that we had at the same time, because
9 we always had like six to - I think one time we had ten
10 vehicles. And I gave him pictures proving that we had
11 those vehicles at the same time. So it wasn't that one
12 vehicle was sold to buy another one. And I gave him
13 that, I gave him that.

14 Q. When was the last time you saw any cars?

15 A. Well, when he moved out he had the truck, the
16 Lotus, and the Cobra. He just - Yeah - Probably, like
17 I said, around 2013 he started selling them, and that's
18 when he told me he had a safe deposit box, he opened a
19 safe deposit box. But, anyway, now he just has the
20 truck, the Cobra, and he just bought a Prowler. I
21 don't know how much those are. But if you have seven
22 vehicles, I mean - and they're all nice vehicles, like
23 Porsches and Saleen Mustangs and, you know, Cobras.
24 They are nice vehicles, and race cars. I don't know
25 how to give you this. The same information that I

1 already gave you, the pictures are in there. I'll give
2 it to you again. I also have the credit card receipts
3 where I paid for - in the two years down in Florida I
4 paid \$82,000 worth of, just my Discover, Discover card.
5 But here's four vehicles. All - The pictures were all
6 taken on February 20th, 2013. Here's six of them on
7 May 25th, and then June 3rd you can see three of them
8 in the garage and then the go-cart in the pole barn.
9 And then there's another car under a cover which was a
10 '66 Mustang, and I have pictures of all of them shown
11 together. So it was - like I said, it wasn't one
12 vehicle was sold to buy another one.

13 THE COURT: Well, let me see the pictures.

14 MR. MATISON: D-3, Your Honor?

15 THE COURT: Yeah. These will be D-3 for I.D.

16 **Exhibit D-3 Marked for I.D.**

17 THE COURT: It's three picture, three pages
18 of pictures of vehicles of various kinds. Show those
19 to Mr. Matison.

20 MS. REGNAERT: I actually just took that out
21 of the, the packet that I delivered to his office on
22 October 14th and Denise signed for it. So he has that.

23 THE COURT: All right. So, T.J., you want to
24 give this back to Ms. Regnaert?

25 **BY THE COURT:**

1 Q. Ms. Regnaert, those are - Your testimony is
2 these are pictures of vehicles that were owned by Mr.
3 Regnaert, by Dr. Regnaert?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Did you own -

6 A. Yeah. They -

7 Q. - them as well?

8 A. I drove the truck? I drove the, the blue truck.

9 Q. And the rest of them are owned by him.

10 A. Yes. One of the vehicles, the Miata, that blue
11 car, he bought in my name because he filed bankruptcy.
12 So he used my name to buy things with. He got loans
13 under my name. But those were all his vehicles. I
14 just, I just drive one vehicle. I don't need more than
15 one vehicle.

16 Q. And when - these vehicles were purchased -
17 When were they - Where were the pictures taken?

18 A. In our yard.

19 Q. And when were they taken?

20 A. The seven vehicles at one time was taken, one -
21 two of the pictures were taken May 25th, 2013, and then
22 the other one was June 3rd, you know, just a few days
23 later.

24 Q. Oh. So they, these are three years old, the
25 pictures are three years old.

1 A. Mmhhh. Yeah. Like I said, that's when he got,
2 was getting discharged from his bankruptcy and that's
3 when he started - he sold his cars and he started -
4 That's when he opened his own bank accounts and, you
5 know, was basically hiding money from me. I mean he
6 would just -

7 MR. MATISON: Objection to that, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: That will be stricken.

9 **BY THE COURT:**

10 Q. But were there cars after that?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. All right. Well -

13 A. Yeah. I think he had Cobra, he had the Lotus.
14 He's, he's had, he's always, he's always buying cars.

15 Q. And your testimony was he just bought a
16 Prowler?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. All right. All right. What else do you have
19 to - What else do you want to talk about?

20 A. Well, I mean besides his behavior and, you know,
21 and that's why I wanted to limit the overnights, and
22 that's why I emailed - and I also printed them out and,
23 and gave them to him - all the audio transcriptions of,
24 you know, how Todd talks when nobody else is around.

25 Q. Are there additional transcripts that -

1 A. Yes, sir.

2 Q. - you want me to consider?

3 A. Mmhmm. Yes, sir.

4 Q. All right. Why don't you give me those.

5 A. You can disregard, there's a whole email where I
6 wrote to Ms. Logan and Mr. Matison when I sent them,
7 but the transcripts are attached. And there's one in
8 there where I titled it "Behavioral Problems" because
9 he's talking about his son, Josh, and what his behavior
10 was like, because he makes it sound like, you know, I'm
11 this mean stepmom, but Josh -

12 THE COURT: Give this back to her.

13 **BY THE WITNESS:**

14 A. - I always felt that he had like oppositional
15 defiant disorder.

16 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, may I see it,
17 please?

18 THE COURT: Yeah. The - It's the letter to
19 you and Ms. Logan apparently I just took off. I'm not
20 going to - which apparently she says covered the - when
21 I - she, she gave this to you. So I'm not - but as -
22 in terms of evidence, I don't want her letter to you.

23 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah. It was sent to Ms.
24 Logan and Mr. Matison. And I also sent it to Boden
25 (ph), our mediator, at the same time and he, he

1 confirmed that he, he received it.

2 MR. MATISON: Objection, Your Honor, as to
3 what the mediator said.

4 **BY THE COURT:**

5 Q. All right. And this is another - these are
6 other transcriptions of, of what, conversations between
7 you and Mr. - Dr. Regnaert?

8 A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I mean he would - That was
9 like a daily occurrence or actually like nightly and
10 that's, -

11 Q. And when are these -

12 A. - you know, that's my concern.

13 Q. And when are these from?

14 A. I'm sorry?

15 Q. When are these transcripts from?

16 A. What are they from?

17 Q. When are, when are they from?

18 A. Like I said, I recorded him after his parents
19 reported us both to DCF, and he wouldn't clear up the
20 lies that were told. So I started recording him to
21 prove that, you know, I didn't do the things that they
22 were claiming. And then I recorded him again before he
23 moved out. But, I mean he, he talks like that. It's
24 usually at nighttime when he starts drinking and, you
25 know, he gets on the computer and looks at cars and

1 talks to his brother.

2 Q. Well, hold on. I assume -

3 (Background interruption)

4 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, the, the - page 4
5 is the same as -

6 MS. REGNAERT: Yes.

7 MR. MATISON: - D-1.

8 MS. REGNAERT: Yes.

9 MR. MATISON: So that covers pages -

10 MS. REGNAERT: The ones that I have titled
11 "Slit Throat 1 and 2" I actually returned the recorder
12 off because he stopped rambling, and then he started
13 rambling again. So I turned it back on, and that's why
14 I've made it Part 2.

15 MR. MATISON: And so it, it - the new - What
16 you don't have, Your Honor, starts on page 7. Your
17 Honor, I again object in that I still don't have an
18 original. I have what -

19 MS. REGNAERT: An original?

20 MR. MATISON: - an original CD, DVD,
21 something of this. I'm left with what the defendant
22 sends to me of what she links up on Youtube. She -

23 THE COURT: Well, apparently it's her - she -
24 her testimony is that these are true and accurate
25 copies of transcripts of the actual conversation. So -

1 MR. MATISON: Yes, Your Honor, but you don't
2 have anything before or anything after. She gets to
3 pick what she wants to use.

4 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah, but -

5 THE COURT: Well, I mean other than recording
6 every conversation that took place in what, 24 hours?

7 MR. MATISON: No, Your Honor. She gets to
8 pick that part of, of what she says is a conversation.
9 So let's - By way of example, the con -

10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. - Dr. Regnaert can say,
11 wait, it's out of context, I didn't say it, whatever
12 he's going to say. I don't know what he's going to
13 say.

14 MS. REGNAERT: And some of those are 20-
15 minute conversations.

16 THE COURT: So, again, I understand. I'll
17 overrule your objection. I believe it's relevant. I
18 believe that she's established a foundation for it
19 that's adequate. I will - I'll admit that into
20 evidence as well as D-3, which is the pictures of cars,
21 although I'm not sure, since they're three years old
22 and the cars have been sold, I'm not sure what it does
23 for me.

24 **Exhibits D-3 and D-4 In Evidence**

25 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I also have an

1 objection to the transcript which shows up on page 29
2 (sic) of 24 where purportedly Todd is talking about his
3 son, Josh, which is not an issue of this case. He
4 already has custody of that child. And so there's - It
5 runs from 19 -

6 MS. REGNAERT: It is an issue because they
7 accused -

8 MR. MATISON: - through, through -

9 MS. REGNAERT: - me of abusing him, and I
10 didn't. I have -

11 MR. MATISON: - through 24.

12 MS. REGNAERT: - proof, I have proof from DCF
13 that I didn't abuse him, but he's still accusing me of
14 it.

15 THE COURT: Well, that's not - whether -
16 That, that's certainly not an issue in this case. To
17 the degree there's lang - conversation about Josh, I
18 don't know if it's relevant or not in terms of the - I
19 mean the allegations appear to be quite frankly that
20 Dr. Regnaert can be somewhat unhinged in private. So
21 it may or may not be relevant, but I'll, I'll admit it
22 for what it's worth and then we'll see what I do with
23 it.

24 MS. REGNAERT: Here's more pictures of cars
25 if you want to see them.

1 THE COURT: Well, I don't want to see
2 anything -

3 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

4 THE COURT: It's not anything I want.

5 MS. REGNAERT: Right.

6 THE COURT: I don't want anything. It's up
7 to you to decide what you want to me to -

8 MS. REGNAERT: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: - enter into evidence.

10 MS. REGNAERT: Yes, sir.

11 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, just so I'm clear,
12 D-4, the first -

13 THE COURT: It's repetitive.

14 MR. MATISON: - is repetitive, meaning that
15 pages 4 through the first paragraph of 7 is the,
16 appears to be the same as D-1.

17 And then my second objection other than
18 the general one is that I - we'll see beginning on page
19 9 through 24 is a purported conversation involving
20 Josh, but there's commentary that's not from the
21 transcriber.

22 MS. REGNAERT: Right.

23 MR. MATISON: I assume it's commentary -

24 MS. REGNAERT: It's -

25 MR. MATISON: - from the defendant.

1 THE COURT: It's handwritten, handwritten -

2 MR. MATISON: No, it's typed up.

3 MS. REGNAERT: Your Honor, it was me, because
4 he calls people different names. So it was me saying
5 what their names were. Like he refers to his son as
6 mentally deficient and all kinds of bad names I'm not
7 even going to say, but he calls him "gook," you know,
8 he calls his dad names, like "Diabalo" and "Fister"
9 and so it's just explaining who he's talking about.
10 That's all it is.

11 MR. MATISON: So I object to that as a
12 commentary by the defendant, which clearly can't be an
13 accurate transcript of, of this.

14 THE COURT: So noted.

15 MS. REGNAERT: Well, you can also listen to
16 the audio and read along with it and you'll know who
17 he's talking about.

18 THE COURT: All right.

19 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I also need a copy
20 of this after -

21 THE COURT: All right. Let me have that back
22 and also the pictures of the cars.

23 MS. REGNAERT: This one? That one?

24 THE COURT: The one that you -

25 MS. REGNAERT: The one that I gave you

1 already?

2 THE COURT: The one that we marked for
3 identification. Do you have that -

4 Do you have that one, Mr. Matison?

5 MS. REGNAERT: I have it.

6 MR. MATISON: I don't believe I have it, Your
7 Honor.

8 MS. REGNAERT: I have it, I think. That one?

9 THE COURT: All right. At this point - let's
10 see. All right. So it's been marked into evidence.

11 MS. REGNAERT: The only reason I printed
12 those pictures for you is because I still have the date
13 on my camera because then I took the date off my
14 camera. So it doesn't show on any of the other
15 pictures.

16 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything
17 else, is there anything else you want to offer? Is
18 there anything else you want to discuss at this time?

19 MS. REGNAERT: Financially or pertaining to
20 his behavior?

21 THE COURT: Well, it's your, it's your - This
22 is very, it's hard because you're, you're representing
23 yourself.

24 MS. REGNAERT: Yeah.

25 THE COURT: It up to you to decide whether

1 you - there's something else.

2 Why don't we do this. Why don't we take a
3 break. I'm going to take Mr. Moley's (ph) hearing
4 officer appeal, and we'll come back in in five minutes.
5 All right?

6 MR. MATISON: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Thank you.

8 And you can think about what you want to do,
9 Ms. Regnaert.

10 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

11 THE COURT: All right. You can just leave
12 your stuff. Just push your stuff aside a little, Ms.
13 Regnaert, so - I need space for Mr. Moley. Okay.

14 (Off the record. Back on the record as follows:)

15 THE COURT: All right. We're back on the
16 record in Regnaert.

17 Ms. Regnaert, is there anything else you want
18 to offer on your behalf?

19 MS. REGNAERT: Well, financially, yeah, I
20 want to give you this, the form of the W-2s. I'm going
21 to put it - stack everything together, where I
22 calculated, like I said, you know, our income versus
23 our expenses, and then the bank statements were part of
24 this little package. So I wanted to give you that,
25 this as far as evidence -

1 THE COURT: What is it - Now what is it that
2 you - Well, let me see what it is and I'll decide
3 whether I -

4 MS. REGNAERT: It was just the difference
5 between the income and the household expenses, and then
6 what my -

7 THE COURT: Well, I -

8 MS. REGNAERT: - mortgage was.

9 THE COURT: Hold on. Let me see.

10 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

11 THE COURT: This is a - Well, this is your -

12 MS. REGNAERT: It has the W-2s there where I
13 got the figures from.

14 THE COURT: Well, I have the - Yeah. I
15 don't, I don't know that this is a valid analysis. And
16 the theory, the theory is you take the income less
17 taxes produces a net number compared to the budget of
18 \$6,500 a month -

19 MS. REGNAERT: Right.

20 THE COURT: - times twelve, which you're
21 saying there should be X dollars of excess income.

22 MS. REGNAERT: Right. Which was used to
23 purchase cars, and then the cars were then sold, and I
24 don't know where that money went. And then also -

25 THE COURT: And I'm - Wait, I'm still

1 thinking about this, -

2 MS. REGNAERT: Oh, I'm sorry.

3 THE COURT: - whether that's a - I don't, I
4 don't know that I can take that affirmatively. I think
5 you can ask Dr. Regnaert - Dr. Regnaert can take the
6 stand again and you can ask him about where, where, if
7 there's - So far the testimony is there's no other
8 assets, there's no other accounts. I don't know where
9 the money went, but, but -

10 MS. REGNAERT: Shouldn't there be something?

11 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. I don't
12 know. I can't -

13 MS. REGNAERT: We didn't, we didn't go
14 anywhere, Judge. We didn't go on vacations, I didn't -
15 I don't even get my hair done, my nails done, nothing.
16 I don't go anywhere, and basically all we ever did was
17 maybe we'd go to a continuing education seminar for two
18 days, and then I wrote that off on our taxes, and it
19 wasn't even that, you know, expensive of a trip, maybe
20 a thousand dollars or two at the very most.

21 THE COURT: All right. I mean I'll accept
22 that as argument. I don't know that I need your - I
23 mean I can do that analysis myself.

24 MS. REGNAERT: Okay.

25 THE COURT: But I can accept your argument

1 that the income, given income, less taxes over
2 expenses, there should be assets that you just don't
3 know where they went.

4 MS. REGNAERT: Mhmm. When I met Todd I had
5 - I didn't have any bills. I had my house paid down.
6 I paid \$45,000 for the property and a - it cost
7 \$130,000 to build the house, which was completed in
8 2006, and then when I met him in 2007 I already had it
9 paid down to like \$80,000, and I, I didn't have any -

10 MR. MATISON: Your Honor, I object to that
11 testimony again for not having any back-up financial
12 documentation that proves anything of what she says.

13 THE COURT: Well -

14 MR. MATISON: She can say whatever she wants
15 to say, but I don't - but I specifically asked for her
16 to produce back-up financial documents regarding -

17 MS. REGNAERT: Well, I did.

18 MR. MATISON: She did not, Your Honor. I
19 have - There's nothing to show here of what she paid
20 for the land or what she paid to build the house of
21 what she says.

22 MS. REGNAERT: What does that matter?

23 MR. MATISON: Well, there -

24 MS. REGNAERT: I mean you've got an
25 appraisal.